

FILED
MAR 13 PM 3:05
MICHAEL J. HENNING
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1 MILBERG WEISS LLP
JEFF S. WESTERMAN (SBN 94559)
2 One California Plaza
300 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 3900
3 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3149
Telephone: (213) 617-1200
4 Facsimile: (213) 617-1975

5 MILBERG WEISS, LLP
PETER SAFIRSTEIN, ESQ.
6 ANDREW MORGANTI, ESQ.
One Pennsylvania Plaza
7 New York, NY 10119-0165
Telephone: (212) 594-5300
8 Facsimile: (212) 868-1229

E-filing

9 Attorneys for the Plaintiff and the Class

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

EDL

13 JASON GREGORY TURNER, individually
14 and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, JAPAN AIRLINES
18 INTERNATIONAL, and AMERICAN
AIRLINES, INC.,

19 Defendants.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CV 08 Case No. 1444

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

1 TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES:

2 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12, Plaintiff Lori Barrett gives notice that this action is related to
3 the following cases:

4			
5	<i>Wortman v. Air New Zealand</i>	CV 07-5634 CRB	filed on November 6, 2007
6	<i>Evans v. Air New Zealand</i>	CV 07-5821 CRB	filed on November 15, 2007
7	<i>Foy v. Air New Zealand</i>	CV 07-6219 CRB	filed on December 7, 2007
8	<i>Kaufman v. Air New Zealand</i>	CV 07-6417 CRB	filed on December 19, 2007
	<i>Abrams v. Air New Zealand</i>	CV 08-0339 CRB	filed on January 17, 2008
	<i>Barrett v. Qantas</i>	CV 08-1140 EMC	filed on February 26, 2008

9 This case involves the same transactions and events and the same conduct by the same
10 defendants which, as set forth in the complaint, constituted violations of the federal antitrust laws
11 of the United States, Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act of 1890, 15 U.S.C. § 1 and Sections
12 4 and 26 of the Clayton Antitrust Act of 1914, 15 U.S.C. §§ 15, 26. Additionally, all actions
13 involve similar questions of fact and the same questions of law such that it is very likely that
14 there would be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if
15 the cases are conducted before different judges. Thus, assignment of this action to a single
16 judge, in this instance the honorable Charles R. Breyer whom has already been assigned the
17 *Wortman* case, is likely to effect a savings of judicial effort and other economies.

18 DATED: March 13, 2008

MILBERG WEISS LLP
JEFF S. WESTERMAN

19
20
21  JEFF S. WESTERMAN

22 One California Plaza
23 300 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 3900
24 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3149
25 Telephone: (213) 617-1200
26 Facsimile: (213) 617-1975
27 Email: jwesterman@milbergweiss.com
28

MILBERG WEISS LLP
PETER SAFIRSTEIN
ANDREW MORGANTI
One Pennsylvania Plaza
New York, NY 10119-0165
Telephone: (212) 594-5300
Facsimile: (212) 868-1229
Email: psafirstein@milbergweiss.com
amorganti@milbergweiss.com

Attorneys for the Plaintiff and the Class

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28